

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

			OAKLAND DIVIS		00 - 40165	JSW	
* .	United	States of America,)	Case No	2-40119	WE HSA	
		Plaintiff,		STIPULATE	ED ORDER EXC E SPEEDY TRI	AL ACT	
1	RECH	ARD CHEOV)	01,222		DEC 1 4 2022	
		Defendant(s).)			CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUP NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFOR OAKLAND OFFICE	
Trial A	ct from	s stated by the parties of 12 (14 22 to the state of the less interest. The court makes this	st of the public and the	and finds that e defendant in	t the ends of just a speedy trial.	es time under the Speedy ice served by the See 18 U.S.C. §	
		Failure to grant a cont See 18 U.S.C. § 31610		ely to result in	a miscarriage o	f justice.	
*		The case is so unusua defendants, the or law, that it is unreal itself within the time	nature of the prosecu	tion, or quate prepara	the existence o tion for pretrial p	proceedings or the trial	
		Failure to grant a contaking into account the	inuance would deny t e exercise of due dilig	he defendant ence. <i>See</i> 18	reasonable time U.S.C. § 3161(l	to obtain counsel, n)(7)(B)(iv).	
		Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
		disposition of crimina paragraph and — bas the time limits for a p	ed on the parties' show	the prelimina wing of good der Federal R lictment unde	ary hearing to the cause — finds g tule of Criminal or the Speedy Tri	ood cause for extending Procedure 5.1 and for al Act (based on the	
	IT IS	SO ORDERED.		1/	· //-	10.	
	DATE	ED: Dec19, 20	722	Kandis A. United Stat	Westmore es Magistrate Ju	dge	
	STIPU	ЛАТЕD: Attorney fo	Mour r Defendant	Assistant U	United States Atto	orney	